NOVEMBER 2025 ELECTION

MAKE A PLAN TO VOTE



Harris County Scarsdale Annex

10851 Scarsdale Blvd. Ste 510 Houston, TX 77089 **Early Voting Locations:**

Freeman Library

16616 Diana Lane; Houston TX 77062

Bay Area Community Center

5002 E. Nasa Pkwy;

Seabrook, TX 77586

DISCOVER WHAT'S ON YOUR BALLOT:

HarrisVotes.com

for all Poll Locations & Sample Ballots

LWVtexas.org

for non-partisan info on amendments

You can vote anywhere in Harris County & you can take this flyer in with you to vote.



As a nationally-recognized attorney, Alejandra has protected Harris County elections from partisan interference, reversed discriminatory school policies, and stood up to Donald Trump.

This political ad was paid for by Bay Area Democratic Movement.

With 14 candidates on the ballot, watch out for a run-off before the new year!

17 TEXAS STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

TS Recons

Summary: The amendment would ensure funding for a State Technical College System.

Position: Community Colleges already train in technical trades. This amendment risks defunding them in favor of for-profit schools.

2 Summary: This amendment would ban the legislature from passing a capital gains tax.

Position: Currently, there is no capital gains tax in TX, and no pending legislation to create this tax. Capital gains tax is charged on profits from selling investments, which primarily applies to the wealthy. This amendment effectively promises the wealthy that they will never be charged new taxes.

Summary: This amendment would bar bail for people with certain violent felony charges.

Position: In America, you are innocent until proven guilty. While this amendment may keep violent people off the street, it may also keep innocent people locked up in jail for years without being proven guilty.

Summary: This amendment would use part of our sales tax to help fund water infrastructure.

Position: Support for water infrastructure is needed for areas with floods and/or water shortages. We are cautious about how this state leadership will use the funds, but the investment is needed.

Summary: This amendment would allow the state legislature to get rid of property taxes on animal feed.

Position: This amendment will mostly help big farms and feed sellers, rather than small family farmers. The lost revenue from this tax cut may shift the tax burden to the middle class.

NO

NO⁴

NO

Summary: This amendment would prohibit taxes on investors and financial institutions.

Position: Currently, there are not TX state taxes on investors and financial institutions, and no legislation is planned. This amendment promises no new taxes to big banks and the rich.

Summary: This amendment would allow surviving spouses of veterans who died from a service-related condition to be part of the homestead exemption.

Position: An estimated 3,000 veteran families statewide would benefit from the exemption. This ensures fair treatment for veterans and their families and it will have a very minor impact on the local tax base.

Summary: This amendment would ban any form of taxes on a dead person's wealth.

Position: Texas does not tax a dead person's wealth, and there is no legislation trying to instate this tax. This amendment promises the children of the ultra-rich that there will be no tax on their inheritance.

Summary: This amendment would extend property tax exemption to property that is used to make money.

Position: If this passes, petrochemical plants, landlords, and multinational companies will get a tax break. The burden to cover the cost of basic public services may then fall to the middle class.

Summary: This amendment would temporarily exempt homes destroyed in a fire from the property tax.

Position: This amendment provides temporary relief for families in crisis. But a large-scale disaster could decimate community tax bases and services, making it impossible to rebuild.

Summary: This amendment would increase the homestead exemption for the elderly and disabled (from 10k to 60k).

Position: This has been done before. Last time, Abbott and the legislature assured voters they would supply state funds to cover the lost local revenue. From past experience, we cannot trust that the state will cover lost local revenue.

Summary: This amendment would expand the size and power of the State Commission on Judicial Conduct. Including, but not limited to, suspending judges.

Position: This commission will be appointed by Greg Abbott and will likely target democratic judges and then replace them with republican judges who will favor his donors.

Summary: This amendment would increase the homestead exemption from \$100k to \$140k.

Position: 37% of the state rent their home. This exemption will not save money for people without assets. This was also done before, and again, from past experience, we cannot trust that the state will send funds to cover lost local revenue.

Summary: This amendment would create a Dementia Prevention and Research Fund of Texas with \$3 billion in funding.

Position: TX has world class medical facilities and should be funding research at the state level for many illnesses, but the legislature and governor could allocate these funds without them being a permanent part of the state constitution.

"The constitutional amendment affirming that parents are the primary decision makers for their children.

Position: This is the codification of the "parent rights movement," which considers children to be nothing more than property of their parents. There are some bad parents, and the state should be able to protect children from those parents. There is also already a "parents bill of rights"

"The constitutional amendment clarifying that a voter must be a United States citizen."

Position: It is already illegal for noncitizens to vote in Texas. There are several layers of procedure that check citizenship when a person registers.

Summary: This amendment would exempt property tax increases as a result of border security on land that borders Mexico.

Position: Property owners are already compensated to let the government use their property for immigration enforcement. This is an attempt to expand border enforcement, while removing funding for border communities.